

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.enplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,571	06/17/2005	Toru Kimura	273959US26XPCT	4485
22850 7550 62/19/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/539,571	KIMURA ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ROBIN HYLTON	3781			
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) <u>ROBIN HYLTON</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Adnan Bohri</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 29 January 2010	Time:				
Type of Interview:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112, 2 nd paragraph					
Claims discussed: pending claims					
Prior art documents discussed: n/a					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
(A	nnlicant/Annlicant's Representat	ive Signature – if annropriste)			
(Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)					

Application No. 10/539,571

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicants' representative to discuss an examiner amendment to obviate a new rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. Mr. Bohri was not able to agree to proposed claim changes and was advised that an Office action would be prepared and mailed for applicants' consideration to place the application in condition for allowance.